# **Adjunct Evaluation Process College of Engineering and Computer Science**

The effectiveness of Adjunct Instructors is based on evaluation of classroom teaching, course folder material and student evaluation of instructor. The following summarizes the process used by the College of Engineering and Computer Science (CECS) to evaluate the effectiveness of Adjunct Instructors.

#### Classroom Teaching

- Adjunct Instructors are assigned to a Department (Computer Science, Mechanical Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Civil and Chemical Engineering, Engineering (Industrial, Environmental, Nuclear), and Engineering Technology Management)
- Department Head (or representative) of assigned department will sit in at least 2 classes of each section the instructor teaches each semester.
- Department Head (or representative) will complete the attached evaluation form following the visits to the class (one for each class taught).

#### Course Folder Material

- Adjunct Instructor will create a course folder for each course and section taught and will provide the course folders to the Department Head at the end of the semester's grading period.
- Department Head (or representative) will evaluate the course folder for the following and note the results on the attached Course Folder evaluation form:
  - o Completeness of content needs
  - o Completeness of course syllabus
  - o Evidence of course following syllabus
  - o Evidence of appropriate level of rigorousness

#### Student Evaluation of Instructor

- Students will complete instructor/course evaluations at the end of the semester
- Department Head (or representative) will review the instructor/course evaluations with regards to the suggestions for instructional improvement and note the results on the attached Student Evaluation of Instructor form.

Based on the class visits, course folder reviews, and student instructor evaluations the Department Head will decide to do one of the following:

- Approve the Adjunct Instructor for continued teaching opportunities
- Advise the Adjunct Instructor to improve his/her teaching and/or delivery
- Not rehire the Adjunct Instructor for further teaching opportunities.

Documentation of the reason for the outcome (as provided on the evaluation forms) will be included in a file on adjunct instructors in the Department's office for future reference.

## **Adjunct Instructor In-Class Assessment**

Adjunct Instructor:			Semester and Year:
Course:			
<u>Instructions</u>			
Answer each question	set by placing an X at the lo	ocation on the given linear s	cale that best describes the instructor's teaching effectiveness.
How well did the in	structor explain the cou	ırse material?	Use the space below to explain your response with examples where applicable.
Much confusion	Some confusion -	understood	
	structor respond to stud	-	
	Average response		
How well did the in discussions?	structor involve studen	ts in the class	
Little student involvement	Half of students involved -	All students involved -	
How effective was t	he instructor's presenta	ntion style/technology?	
Not effective 	Some what effective	Very effective 	
Reviewer Name (print):			Date:
Reviewer Signature	e:		

## **Adjunct Instructor Course Folder Assessment**

Adjunct Instructor:			Semester and Year:
Course:			
<u>Instructions</u>			
Answer each question	set by placing an X at the lo	cation on the given linear so	cale that best describes the Course Folder and course content.
How complete is the Department?	e course folder based on	the needs of the	Use the space below to explain your response with examples where applicable.
No course folder found 	75% of material included	included	
	e course syllabus based of Department and Colleg		
No Syllabus included 	75% of material included		
Based on the contersyllabus?	nt how well did the cours	se follow the course	
No correlation with syllabus	Much correlation with syllabus 	100% correlation with syllabus 	
Based on the conter	nt how rigorous is the co	urse?	
Assignments are too easy	Assignments have expected difficulty	Assignments are too difficult	
Reviewer Name (print):			Date:
Reviewer Signature	e:		

## **Adjunct Instructor Student Rating of Faculty**

Adjunct Instructor:		Semester and Year:	
Course:			
Instruct	tions: For each of the following questions record com	ments that appear as a "theme" on a majority of the evaluation forms.	
1.	What should this instructor do to improve his/her in	nstruction?	
2.	What do you particularly like about this instructor	that should NOT be changed?	
3.	What changes in the mechanics of this course shoul	d be made to improve the learning experience?	
4.	What should not be changed about the mechanics o	f this course?	
Review	er Name (print):	Date:	
Review	er Signature:		